lytic effect of small amounts of water on the rate of exchange, points to an effect of ion pair dissociation.

In the acid region we pick up a reaction path involving acid catalysis, but we see that the presence of an acid is no prerequisite for the ROCl-Cl⁻ chlorine exchange, as it is in the case of the HOCl-Cl⁻ exchange. The acid path becomes appreciable only at acid concentrations above 10^{-7} -molar where we may assume *t*-BuOCl⁺ as intermediate. Estimating the dissociation constant of *t*-BuOHCl⁺ at 10^{2} we derive a specific rate constant for this reaction $k = 4 \times 10^{7}$ l. mole⁻¹ min.⁻¹ at 27° .

In the basic region we are surprised by the well established catalytic effect of t-BuO⁻ concentration on the rate of exchange. We suggest three ways to explain this effect: one is to assume that a butoxide ion forms a complex with t-BuOC1 which facilitates the interaction with Cl-. It is hard however to see, why should $(t-BuO)_2C1^-$ be more liable to a nucleophilic attack than t-BuOCl; if such a complex would be formed, it might probably suppress the rate of exchange. An alternative explanation may be by the reaction t-BuOC1 + t-BuOLi \rightleftharpoons t-BuOC1⁺ + tBuO⁻ whereafter *t*-BuOCl⁺ is attacked by Cl⁻; *t*-BuOCl⁺ + Cl⁻ $\approx t$ -BuOLi + Cl₂. This assumption would require a second-order effect of LiCl concentration, which has not been observed. The third explanation may be the effect of BuO- on the availability of free Cl⁻ ions in our system. If we assume that the LiCl exists in solution in an undissociated form, then by the reaction LiCl + BuOLi \rightleftharpoons BuOLi₂⁺ + Cl⁻ more chloride ions are available for exchange. Still there is no evidence available for the existence of complexes of the type *t*-BuOLi₂⁺. We conclude that it is hard to offer an explanation for the *t*-BuO⁻ effect.

The effect of acetate ions on the rate of chlorine exchange is not clear. It is hard to assume acetyl hypochlorite as intermediate because its rate of formation is slower⁸ than the catalytic effect of acetate ions on the chlorine exchange. The pH dependence here is not well established, whereas the dependence on the chloride ion concentration points to competing reactions between AcO⁻ and Cl⁻ ions. We may conclude that the effect of acetate ions on the chlorine exchange requires further investigation.

Conclusion

Our results give more evidence that the HOCl system differs basically from the HOBr system. Having a much stronger HO–X bond, HOCl follows different mechanisms of interaction with nucleophilic reagents the HOCl molecule requires occasionally an additional proton to make its chlorine accessible. Next it has been shown that the trihalide like ions HOX^{-2} or $HOXY^{-1}$ may occasionally occur as intermediates in HOX reactions.

REHOVOTH, ISRAEL

[CONTRIBUTION FROM THE CHEMISTRY DEPARTMENT OF THE AGRICULTURAL AND MECHANICAL COLLEGE OF TEXAS]

The System NaF-HF-H₂O at 0 and $-15^{\circ 1}$

BY JAMES S. MORRISON AND ALBERT W. JACHE

RECEIVED JULY 7, 1958

The system NaF-HF-H₂O has been studied at 0 and -15° . The solid phases include NaF·HF, NaF·2HF, NaF·3HF and NaF·4HF at both temperatures. NaF exists in the 0° case and presumably at -15° .

Introduction

Ternary systems involving an inorganic fluoride, hydrogen fluoride and water have been studied by relatively few workers. Tananaev has investigated systems involving hydrogen fluoride, water and lithium fluoride,² potassium fluoride,³ zirconium(IV) fluoride⁴ and iron(III) fluoride.⁵ Clark⁶ has listed some data on the solubility of sodium fluoride in various concentrations of aqueous hydrogen fluoride.

Our interest in the ternary system sodium fluoride-hydrogen fluoride-water (NaF-HF-H₂O) was stimulated by the lack of complete data for this system and the disagreement in the literature con-

(3) I. V. Tananaev, J. Appl. Chem. (U.S.S.R.), 11, 214 (1939).
(4) I. V. Tananaev, N. S. Nikolaev and Y. A. Buslaev, Zhur.

- (4) I. V. Tananaev, N. S. Nikolaev and Y. A. Buslaev, Zhur. Neorg. Khim., 1, 274 (1956).
- (5) I. V. Tananaev, J. Appl. Chem. (U.S.S.R.), 19, 1018 (1946).
 (6) George L. Clark, THIS JOURNAL, 41, 1477 (1919).

cerning the fluorides in this system. Simons⁷ states that, with aqueous or anhydrous HF, the acid salt NaF·HF results and is the only acid fluoride of sodium known. According to Jache and Cady,⁸ the analysis of the solid phase in equilibrium with HF saturated with NaF indicated the presence of 1 mole of NaF to 4.10 of HF at -24.3° . Tananaev⁹ has also studied part of this system but his results seem not to have been noted by later workers.

We have studied this system at 0 and -15° using the Schreinemakers wet residue method.¹⁰

Experimental

Samples were made up from reagent grade NaF and aqueous HF. When HF of concentration greater than 48% was needed it was made up from mixtures of anhydrous HF

- (7) J. H. Simons, "Fluorine Chemistry," Vol. 1, Academic Press, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1950, p. 27.
- (8) A. W. Jache anti G. H. Cady, J. Phys. Chem., 56, 1106 (1952).
- (9) I. V. Tananaev, J. Gen. Chem. (U.S.S.R.), 11, 267 (1941).
 (10) F. E. Purdon and V. W. Slater, "Aqueous Solution and the
- Phase Diagram," Arnold and Co., London, 1946.

⁽¹⁾ Presented at the 133rd National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, San Francisco, California.

⁽²⁾ I. V. Tananaev, Khim. Redkikh. Elementov. Akad. Nauk, S.S. S.R. Inst. Obshei. Neorg. Khim., 1, 33 (1954).

Vol. 81

ANALYSIS OF THE SOL Solutions,		UTIONS AND WET RE- Wet residnes,		esidues at 0°	ANALYSIS OF THE Solutions		SOLUTIONS Wet reside	
HF	o NaF	HF	NaF	Composition of solid phase	HF	. % NaF	HF	%- 1
Θ	3.42	· · ·	,	NaF	0	3.80		
1.90	2.57	1.45	12.36	NaF	0.365	2.02	10.78	28
5,23	1.79	5.03	13.87	NaF	2.62	1.98	15.03	32
9,30	0.927	7.81	14.00	NaF	11.18	0.602	17,11	23
10.66	1.01	21.86	37.06	NaF·HF	12.74	.587	24.09	39
12.36	1.01	21.73	34.09	NaF HF	17.04	.567	23.22	29
14.28	1.01	23.09	31.31	NaF·HF	20.08	.650	25.57	31
18.73	1.58	23.51	28.69	$NaF \cdot HF$	24.88	.754	28.59	3(
26,46	1.47	27.50	23.22	NaF HF	29.15	1.09	29.36	2-
34.87	2.28	33.18	25.25	NaF HF	34.48	1.68	34.09	22
37.74	2.92	35.01	28.88	NaF HF	38.45	2.37	36.34	21
41.74	4.03	37.06	29.33	NaF HF	38.95	2.47	37.03	20
42,09	4.39	38.74	25.54	NaF·HF	45.03	4.59	40.10	20
46.73	5.37	43.37	22.28	$NaF \cdot HF$	46.10	5.30	41.82	24
50,05	8.01	45.02	25,00	NaF HF	46.46	5.18	41.03	27
55.19	12.90	49.64	24.45	NaF·HF	50.25	8.37	44.29	29
56.01	17.88	46.01	38.15	NaF·HF	52.87	11.37	41.75	40
56,70	17.77	45.29	38.47	$NaF \cdot HF$	54.23	13.11	49.46	2-
56. S9	18.70	46.45	39.50	NaF HF	54.86	15.74	48.22	34
57.26	18.04	53.51	30.50	NaF·2HF				
58.44	20.50	54.88	29.34	NaF-2HF	55.68	15.75	$52^{\circ}.77$	32
59.91	20.76	59.02	32.08	NaF 3HF	56.13	15.90	53.83	20
61.39	21.03	61.23	26.06	NaF-3HF	58.58	17.30	54.95	30
63.56	20.21	65.38	27.68	NaF-4HF	59.27	17.34	59.69	30
67.24	18.33	67.31	28.94	NaF·4HF	59.97	17.42	63.02	27
69.01	17.69	68, 10	27.23	NaF-4HF	61.49	17.01	59.74	30
71.43	17.85	69.35	26.01	NaF-4HF	61.96	17.06	63.20	20
74.49	17.79	69, 82	28.04	NaF·4HF	65.77	14.16	65.57	24
75.64	18.29	69.52	28.42	NaF-4HF	69.26	12.29	67.13	25
76.54	19.65	70.99	27.48	NaF-4HF	71.13	12.70	67.60	20
Liquid HF	21.79^{8}				75.10	15.12	69.96	27
					75 92	14 25	70.28	94

and aqueons HF. The anhydrous HF used was the middle fractions obtained from a commercial cylinder. This was distilled from the cylinder into a polyethylene collection both and redistilled through an all polyethylene system. The first and last fractions of each distillation were dis-carded. The liquid HF could be saturated with NaF to re-duce its vapor pressure and stored until needed.

TABLE 1



Fig. 1.—The system NaF-HF-H₂O at 0° .

нī

Various mixtures of HF, $\rm H_2O$ and NaF were placed in 250 cc., wide mouth polyethylene bottles. These were placed in an ethylene glycol-water bath whose temperature was

TABLE II and Wet Residues at -15°

- 6	Solutions		Wet residues,		Composition of		
e	HF	NaF	HF	NaF	solid pha	on of ise	
	0	3.80			NaF		
	0.365	2.02	10.78	28.97	NaF∙HF		
	2.62	1.98	15.03	32.91	NaF·HF		
	11.18	0.602	17.11	23.21	NaF ·HF		
	12.74	.587	24.09	39.03	NaF HF		
	17.04	.567	23.22	29.24	NaF·HF		
	20.08	.650	25.57	31.84	NaF ·HF		
	24.88	. 754	28.59	30.40	NaF HF		
	29.15	1.09	29.36	24.45	NaF HF		
	34.48	1.68	34.09	22.77	NaF HF		
	38.45	2.37	36.34	21.04	NaF HF		
	38.95	2.47	37.03	29.67	NaF HF		
	45.03	4.59	40.10	26.85	NaF HF		
	46.10	5.30	41.82	24.77	NaF HF		
	46.46	5.18	41.03	27.53	$NaF \cdot HF$		
	50.25	8.37	44.29	29.55	NaF HF		
	52.87	11.37	41.75	40.54	NaF HF		
	54.23	13.11	49.46	24.45	NaF·HF		
	54.86	15.74	48.22	34.41	NaF·2HF and	d NaF…	
2					$_{\rm HF}$		
7	55.68	15.75	52.77	32.89	$NaF \cdot 2HF$		
2	56.13	15.90	53.83	26.46	NaF-2HF		
7	58.58	17.30	54.95	30.08	NaF-2HF		
2	59.27	17.34	59.69	30.73	NaF-3HF		
2	59.97	17.42	63.02	27.65	NaF-3HF		
7	61.49	17.01	59.74	30.35	$NaF \cdot 3HF$		
7	61.96	17.06	63.20	26.26	NaF 4HF		
2	65.77	14.16	65.57	24.63	NaF-4HF		
2	69.26	12.29	67.13	25.52	NaF 4HF		
2	71.13	12.70	67.60	26.47	NaF-4HF		
	75.10	15.12	69.96	27.45	NaF·4HF		
110	75.23	14.35	70.38	24.77	NaF-4HF		
was	78.21	17.32	72.32	26 .01	NaF 4HF		
ion	81.02	19.01^{8}					

regulated to $\pm 0.06^{\circ}$. These bottles were agitated in the bath. If no solid phase remained, more NaF was added. Liquid samples were removed by means of a sampler using ± 18 hypoderniic needle fitted through a Teflon adapter to with lower but the section. small polyethylene bottle.



Fig. 2.—The system NaF-HF-H₂O at -15°.

The liquid samples and wet residues were analyzed for HF by a simple titration with sodium hydroxide solution. The NaF was determined by careful evaporation in platinum crucibles over a heat lamp followed by ignition at 750° . The weighing form was NaF.

Discussion and Results

The results of the analyses of the solution and wet residues are given in Tables I and II and have been plotted in Fig. 1 and 2. At -15° at HF concentrations of less than 11%, all phases appear to be solids.

The solid phases existing in equilibrium with solution at both temperatures include NaF·HF, NaF·2HF, NaF·3HF and NaF·4HF. NaF exists

in the 0° case and presumably at -15° . No solid phases containing water exist. The HF (wt. $\frac{0}{2}$) concentration limits for the solid phases at 0° are: NaF, 0 to 9.5%; NaF HF, 10 to 57%; NaF 2HF, 55 to 58.5%; NaF 3HF, 58.5 to 62%; and NaF 4HF, 62 to 100%. In general, the solid phases containing HF are more stable at lower HF concentrations at -15° than they are at 0° . The solubility of NaF is in general somewhat lower at the lower temperature. COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS

[CONTRIBUTION FROM THE RESEARCH LABORATORY, OLIN MATHIESON CHEMICAL CORPORATION]

Change in the Ratio of Hydroxyl Groups Attached to Silicon and Aluminum Atoms in Silica-Alumina Catalysts upon Activation

BY H. G. WEISS, J. A. KNIGHT AND I. SHAPIRO

Received October 18, 1958

A method for measuring the number of hydroxyl groups attached to silicon relative to those attached to aluminum atoms in silica-alumina catalysts is described. Essentially, the method consists of two steps: (a) exposing diborane to the catalyst in order to replace the proton of the hydroxyl group with boron atoms and (b) measuring the number of these boron atoms that can participate in boron exchange with labeled diborane. By this technique, it is found that initially hydroxyl groups are attached to both silicon and aluminum atoms; however, upon aging of the catalyst at temperatures below 400y hydroxyl groups, lost as water, must come predominantly from the silicon rather than the aluminum atoms. At temperatures above ca. 400° the ratio of hydroxyl groups attached to aluminum and silicon atoms goes through a maximum value.

The relation of bound water to the surface structure of silica gel, silica-alumina and aluminum oxide catalysts has been the subject of several investigations.¹⁻³ The influence of small amounts of water on the catalytic activity of alumina and silicaalumina also has been reported.4-6 In this connection various methods^{7,8} have been devised for measuring bound water content of catalysts; however, such methods (ignition, D₂O or D₂ exchange, etc.) are satisfactory for bulk determinations but do not lend themselves readily to determination of the location of the bound water.

The nature of bound water (hydroxyl groups) in silica gel has previously been elucidated by a study of its reaction with diborane.⁹ From the relative amount of diborane consumed to hydrogen generated, it was determined that the hydroxyl groups in silica gel lie principally on the surface of the solid, with the surface composition consisting of Si-O-BH2 units. Similar results were indicated for reaction of diborane with silica-alumina.

The diborane-treated silica-alumina catalyst¹⁰ exhibited a pronounced activity toward cyclization of acetylene to benzene, and from a detailed study of the cyclization reaction¹¹ there were indications that alumina provided the active sites in silica-

(2) J. D. Danforth, J. Phys. Chem., 61, 13(1 (1057). (3) R. G. Haldeman and P. H. Emmett, THIS JOURNAL, 78, 2917

(1956).

(4) S. G. Hindin and S. W. Weller, J. Phys. Chem., 60, 1501 (1956).
(5) S. W. Weller and S. G. Hindin, *ibid.*, 60, 1506 (1956).

(6) R. G. Haldeman and P. H. Emmett, THIS JOURNAL, 78, 2922 (1936).

(7) G. A. Mills and S. G. Hindin, ibid., 72, 5549 (1950).

(8) James K. Lee and Sol W. Weller, Anal. Chem., 30, 1057 (1958).

(9) 1. Shapiro and H. G. Weiss, J. Phys. Chem., 57, 219 (1953).

(10) I. Shapiro and H. G. Weiss, THIS JOURNAL, 79, 3294 (1957).

(11) H. G. Weiss and I. Shapiro, ibid., 80, 3195 (1958).

alumina catalysts. That the borane counterpart is not the principal active site was indicated by the inactivity of diborane-treated silica gel; treated alumina gave only an initial reaction with acetylene. Further experiments with diborane now point to a way of distinguishing between hydroxyl groups located on silica and those on alumina sites.

In the exposure of silica gel and silica-alumina to diborane, hydrogen is liberated in the initial reaction. In experiments in which these two catalysts were activated at low temperatures (ca. 250°), the hydrogen-diborane ratio indicated no apparent differences in the nature of the borane-surface compound formed. However, with alumina and silicaalumina activated at higher temperatures (>400°) there was a decrease in the hydrogen-diborane ratio, thus precluding the possibility of only M-OBH₂ units forming. Boron-10 exchange experiments between gaseous diborane and the boronsurface compounds showed differences in the amount of exchangeable boron on the surface. Total boron exchange (100%) occurred in the borane-silica compound formed from diborane and silica gel. With alumina, however, only one-half (50%) of the attached boron atoms were capable of exchange. When exchange experiments were carried out in the silica-alumina-borane compound, the amount of boron participation varied between these limits. From the equilibrium exchange values, the ratio of hydroxyl groups on alumina to those on silica has been calculated. The results indicate that the hydroxyl groups in silica-alumina are on both aluminum and silicon atoms and that those attached to silica are more easily removed on heating.

Details of the exchange reactions between the diborane surface complexes and gaseous diborane are given.

^{(1) 1.} Shapiro and I. M. Kolthoff, THIS JOURNAL, 72, 776 (1950).